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Preface

*The more constraints one imposes, the more one frees one's self.*

Igor Stravinsky

CHR has taken off. After five dedicated workshops, two special journal issues and hundreds of related research articles, it was time to write this book about CHR.

**About this book**

This book is about programming with rules. It presents a rule-based constraint programming language called CHR (short for Constraint Handling Rules). While conceptually simple, CHR embeds the essential aspects of many rule-based and logic-based formalisms and can implement algorithms in a declarative yet highly effective way. The combination of information propagation and multiset transformation of relations in a concurrent, constraint-based language makes CHR a powerful declarative tool for knowledge representation and reasoning. Over the last decade CHR has not only cut its niche as a special-purpose language for writing constraint solvers, but has matured into a general-purpose language for computational logic and beyond.

This intermediate level book with a gentle introduction and more advanced chapters gives an overview of CHR for readers of various levels of experience. The book is addressed to researchers, lecturers, graduate students and professional programmers interested in languages for innovative applications. The book supports both self-study and teaching. It is accompanied by a website at chr.informatik.uni-ulm.de.

In short, this book concentrates on the basics of CHR while keeping in mind dozens of research papers. In 2009, there is a companion book on
recent advances in CHR and a survey article in a journal. A book on implementation of CHR and a collection of classical CHR papers is also planned.

_Underlying Concepts_

CHR relies on three essential concepts: rules, declarativity and constraints.

_Rules_ are common in everyday life. The formalization of these rules goes back more than 2000 years to the syllogisms of the Greek philosopher Aristotle, who invented logic this way. Nowadays, rule-based formalisms are ubiquitous in computer science, from theory to practice, from modeling to implementation, from inference rules and transition rules to business rules.

Rules have a double nature, they can express monotonic static causal relations on the basis of logic, but also nonmonotonic dynamic behavior by describing state changes. Executable rules are used in declarative programming languages, in program transformation and analysis, and for reasoning in artificial intelligence applications. Such rules consist of a data description (pattern) and a replacement statement for data matching that description. Rule applications cause transformations of components of a shared data structure (e.g., constraint store, term, graph, or database).

Matured rule-based programming experiences a renaissance due to its applications in areas such as business rules, semantic web, computational biology, medical diagnosis, software verification, and security. Commonplace uses of rules are in insurance and banking applications, for mail filtering and product configuration.

_Declarativity_ means to describe knowledge about entities, their relationships and states, and to draw inferences from it to achieve a certain goal, as opposed to procedural or imperative programs that give a sequence of commands to compute a certain result. Declarative program constructs are often related to an underlying formal logic.

Declarativity facilitates program development (specification, implementation, transformation, combination, maintenance) and reasoning about programs (e.g., correctness, termination, complexity). Declarative programming also offers solutions to interaction, communication, distribution and concurrency of programs.

_Constraint reasoning_ allows one to solve problems by simply stating constraints (conditions, properties) which must be satisfied by a solution of the problem. A special program (the constraint solver) stores, combines, and simplifies the constraints until a solution is found. The partial solutions can be used to influence the run of the program that generates the constraints.

Programming by asserting constraints makes it possible to model and
specify problems with uncertain or incomplete information and to solve combinatorial problems, such as scheduling and planning. The advantages of constraint-based programming are declarative problem modeling on a solid mathematical basis and propagation of the effects of decisions expressed as additional constraints. The conceptual simplicity and efficiency of constraint reasoning leads to executable specifications, rapid prototyping, and ease of maintenance.

**Constraint Handling Rules (CHR)**

CHR is both a theoretical *formalism* (like term rewriting and Petri nets) related to a subset of first-order logic and linear logic, and a practical programming language (like Prolog and Haskell). CHR tries to bridge the gap between theory and practice, between logical specification and executable program by abstraction through constraints and the concepts of computational logic. By the notion of constraint, CHR does not distinguish between data and operations, rules are both descriptive and executable.

CHR is a declarative concurrent committed-choice constraint logic *programming language* consisting of guarded rules that transform multisets of constraints (relations, predicates). CHR was motivated by the inference rules that are traditionally used in computer science to define logical relationships and arbitrary fixpoint computations in the most abstract way.

Direct ancestors of CHR are logic programming, constraint logic programming, and concurrent committed-choice logic programming languages. Like these languages, CHR has an operational semantics describing the execution of a program and a declarative semantics providing a logical reading of the program which are closely related. Other influences were multiset transformation systems, term rewriting systems, and, of course, production rule systems. CHR embeds essential aspects of these and other rule-based systems such as constraint programming, graph transformation, deductive databases, and Petri nets, too.

In CHR, one distinguishes two main kinds of rules: *Simplification rules* replace constraints by simpler constraints while preserving logical equivalence, e.g., $X \leq Y \land Y \leq X \Leftrightarrow X = Y$. *Propagation rules* add new constraints that are logically redundant but may cause further simplification, e.g., $X \leq Y \land Y \leq Z \Rightarrow X \leq Z$. Together with $X \leq X \Leftrightarrow \text{true}$, these rules encode the axioms of a partial order relation. The rules compute its transitive closure and replace $\leq$ by equality ($=$) whenever possible.

*Multi-headed rules* allow to express complex interactions in a compact way. They provide for implicit iteration instead of cumbersome looping
constructs. In other words, CHR supports a topological view of structured data. Components can be directly accessed by just mentioning them in the rule head. CHR also allows for recursive descent where one walks through data.

CHR is appealing for applications in computational logic: Logical theories are usually specified by implications and logical equivalences that correspond to propagation and simplification rules. On the meta-level, given the transformation rules for deduction in a calculus, its inference rules map to propagation rules and replacement rules to simplification rules. In this context, CHR integrates deduction and abduction, bottom-up and top-down execution, forward and backward chaining, tabulation and integrity constraints.

Algorithms are often specified using inference rules, rewrite rules, sequents, proof rules, or logical axioms that can be directly written in CHR. Starting from such an executable specification, the rules can then be refined and adapted to the specifics of the application. Yet, CHR is no theorem prover, but an efficient programming language: CHR uses formulas to derive new information, but only in a restricted syntax (e.g., no negation) and in a directional way (e.g., no contrapositives) that makes the difference between the art of proof search and an efficient programming language.

The use of CHR as a general-purpose programming language is justified by the following observation: Given a state transition system, its transition rules can readily be expressed with simplification rules. In this way, CHR accounts for the double nature (causality versus change) of rules. Statefulness and declarativity are reconciled in the CHR language. Dynamics and changes (e.g., updates) can be modeled, possibly triggered by events and handled by actions (that can all be represented by constraints). CHR allows for explicit state (constraints, too), so that the efficiency of imperative programs can be achieved.

CHR programs have a number of desirable properties guaranteed and can be analyzed for others. Every algorithm can be implemented in CHR with best known time and space complexity, something that is not known to be possible in other pure declarative programming languages. The efficiency of the language is empirically demonstrated by recent optimizing CHR compilers that compete well with both academic and commercial rule-based systems and even classical programming languages.

Any CHR program will by nature implement an anytime (approximation) and online (incremental) algorithm. Confluence of rule applications and operational equivalence of programs are decidable for terminating CHR programs. We do not know of any other programming language in practical
use where operational equivalence is decidable. CHR does not have bias
towards sequential implementation. A terminating and confluent CHR pro-
gram can be run in parallel without any modification and without harming
correctness. This property is called declarative concurrency (logical paral-
lelism).

CHR does not necessarily impose itself as a new programming language,
but as a language extension that blends in with the syntax of its host lan-
guage, be it Prolog, Lisp, Haskell, C or Java. In the host language, CHR
constraints can be posted and inspected; in the CHR rules, host language
statements can be included.

CHR has been used for such diverse applications as type system design for
Haskell, time tabling for universities, optimal sender placement, computa-
tional linguistics, spatio-temporal reasoning, chip card verification, semantic
web information integration, computational biology, and decision support
for cancer diagnosis. Commercial application include stock broking, optical
network design, injection mould design and test data generation.

If asked what distinguishes CHR from similar programming languages and
formalisms, the quick answer is that CHR is both a theoretical formalism
and a practical programming language. CHR is the synthesis of multiset
transformation, propagation rules, logical variables and built-in constraints
into one conceptually simple language with a foundation in logic and with
formal methods for powerful program analysis.

In summary, CHR is the “best computational formalism and the best
programming language you have never seen in your life”.

Contents
This book has three parts. The first part is a tutorial on CHR. The second
part formally defines syntax and semantics of CHR, its properties and their
analysis. The third part presents CHR programs and applications to which
the analysis of Part II is applied. We present exercises and selected solutions
for the chapters that contain practical programs in Part I and Part III of
this book.

In Part I, the CHR tutorial tells you how to write CHR programs in one
of the recent CHR libraries, how CHR rules look like and how rules are ex-
ecuted. A wealth of small but expressive example programs, often consisting
of just one rule, are discussed in detail. The behavior of CHR implementa-
tions is explained, and different programming styles are exhibited: CHR as
database language, for multiset transformation, for procedural algorithms
and for constraint solving. A special emphasis is placed on graph-based algorithms. The properties of the programs are discussed informally, and this foreshadows their thorough analysis in Part II of the book.

In Part II, syntax and semantics of CHR are formally introduced. We distinguish between a declarative semantics that is based on a logical reading of the rules and a operational semantics that describes how rules are applied. Several widely used variants of both types of semantics are given.

In the next chapter, guaranteed properties of CHR are discussed: The anytime algorithm property means that we can interrupt the program at any time and restart from the intermediate result. The online algorithm property means that we can add additional constraints incrementally, while the program is running. We then discuss declarative concurrency (also called logical parallelism). Last but not least, we show that CHR can implement any algorithm with best known time and space complexity.

The chapter on program analysis discusses termination, confluence, operational equivalence and time complexity: Since CHR is Turing-complete, termination is undecidable. Confluence of a program guarantees that a computation has the same result no matter which of the applicable rules are applied. Confluence for terminating CHR programs is decidable. Nonconfluent programs can be made confluent by completion which is introduced next. Modularity of termination and confluence under union of programs is discussed. Then, we give a decidable, sufficient and necessary syntactic condition for operational equivalence of terminating and confluent programs. Finally, a meta-theorem to predict the worst-case time complexity of a class of CHR programs is given.

In the last chapter of this part, CHR is compared to other formalisms and languages by embedding them in CHR. It is shown that essential aspects of

- Logic-Based Programming, Deductive Databases, Concurrent Constraints,
- Production Rules, Event-Condition-Action Rules, Business Rules,
- Multiset-, Term- and Graph-Rewriting, and Petri Nets

can be covered by suitable fragments of CHR.

Part III analyzes the programs from the CHR tutorial and a number of larger programs in more detail and more formally. The programs solve problems over finite and infinite domains of values: propositional satisfaction problems (Boolean Algebra), syntactic equations over rational trees and linear polynomial equations, implement the graph-based constraint algorithms of arc and path consistency, and the global lexicographic order constraint. We also directly implement description logic (extended with rules), which
is the formal basis of ontology languages of the semantic web. We give a program for the classical union-find algorithm with optimal time and space complexity. We parallelize the algorithm and generalize it for efficient equation solving. We use it in an efficient syntactic equation solver. All programs in this part are elegant, concise and effective.

The book ends with an extensive bibliography and an index.

Further Information and Software
The web-pages of this book offers teaching material such as slides and further exercises along with many links. It can be found via the comprehensive CHR website at \texttt{chr.informatik.uni-ulm.de}. The CHR site features access to research papers, software for download, programming examples, and descriptions of applications and projects. More than 1000 papers mentioning CHR are listed, many of them with links. There are lists of selected papers, ordered by topic, recency, and author. Tutorial notes and slides can be found as well.

More than a dozen free implementations of CHR exist. They are available in most Prolog systems, several in Haskell, and in more mainstream programming languages such as Java and C. Many can be downloaded for free from the CHR website. CHR is also available as WebCHR for online experimentation with dozens of example programs, including most from this book. So you can try out CHR from work, home or any internet cafe. Last but not least there is the mailing list \texttt{CHR@listserv.cc.kuleuven.ac.be} for beginners questions, discussion and announcements concerning CHR.

Acknowledgments or How CHR Came About
I came up with CHR during the first weeks at the European Computer Industry Research Centre in Munich in January 1991. Soon, Pascal Brisset implemented the first CHR compiler in Prolog. This was after an inspiring year with a Fulbright grant at SUNY at Stony Brook with David S. Warren, where I met Pattrick Mishra and Michael Kifer, and after a research visit to Ehud Shapiro at the Weizmann Institute, where I met Moshe Vardi.

For the next five years or so, I got research papers introducing CHR rejected, even though there was some isolated interest and encouragement from people of the logic programming, constraint programming and term rewriting community.

Out of frustration I started to work on temporal reasoning until in 1995 Andreas Podelski invited me to contribute to the Spring School in Theoreti-
Real Computer Science in Chatillon with CHR. The breakthrough came when Slim Abdennadher provided the formal basis for the advanced semantics of CHR and essential CHR properties like confluence and operational equivalence and when Christian Holzbaur wrote an optimizing CHR compiler that would become the de-facto standard for a decade. All this culminated in the invitation of Peter Stuckey to submit a CHR survey to a special issue of the Journal of Logic Programming in 1998 which became the main reference for CHR, leading to several hundred citations.

Since then, quite a few people contributed to the success of CHR, too many to thank them all by name, but let me just mention a few more of them. I was lucky again when Tom Schrijvers picked up CHR and within a few short years created the currently most active CHR research group at K.U. Leuven. He also edited special journal issues on CHR, organizes CHR workshops and maintains the CHR website.

I would also like to thank my Ph.D. students in Ulm, Marc Meister, Hariolf Betz, and Frank Raiser. They not only advanced the state of the art in CHR, they also helped me tremendously to deal with the downsides of academic life by sharing the burden. Together with Jon Sneyers and Ingi Sobhi, they provided detailed comments for parts of this book. I finally want to thank the reviewers of research papers that laid the ground for this book for their helpful comments.

Hariolf Betz pointed me to the Chinese character that became the CHR logo. CHR can not only be interpreted as an acronym for “Chinese HoRse”. The Chinese character written ”CHR” in the Yale transcription of Mandarin is derived from the character for horse but depending on the context, it can also mean to speed, to propagate, to be famous.

The Austrian artist Lena Knilli provided the art work for the book cover.

My first sabbatical semester gave me time to start this book. It would not have been written without public domain software such as the operating system Linux and Latex for type setting. It would not have been published in this form without the friendly people from Cambridge University Press. I doubt that I could have written the book at home or at my workplace. So special thanks to Oliver Freiwald, who gave me some work space in his insurance agency, to Marianne Steinert there and to the people from the Caritas social project coffee shop where during my sabbatical I had lunch and a lot of coffee, proofread the manuscript, and met my students for discussions.
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